557-563. 2010.

Lankesteriana 9 (3):

The discovery has *xerophyticum Mexipedium* been ranked as one of the most remarkable findings of neotropical orquideoflora in recent decades (Salazar and Hágsater, 1997). Although this species has some horticultural interest, their importance lies more in biological terms, as it has changed the ideas that took on the phylogenetic relationships and biogeographic Cypripedioideae subfamily (Albert, 1994, Albert & Chase, 1992 Chase, 1996; Salazar & Hágsater, 1997; Shefferson, 2007, Leitch, 2009).

The story of the discovery of this species was documented since its original description (Soto-Arenas et al., 1990) yhasidoretomadaenotrasocasiones (Salazar-Chávez & Hágsater, 1997; Hágsater & Soto Arenas, 1998). Similarly, some descriptions detailed in this species have already been published (Soto-Arenas et al., 1990; Soto, 2003 Soto-Arenas & Solano-Gómez, 2007). In this way, we now know M. is a genus xerophyticum paleoendemics, exclusive to the region of Los Chimalapas in the state Mexican Oaxaca. It should be noted that the description habitat was also conducted since the publication original of this species, however, information on their habitat has been deformed in publications subsequent up to consider Mexipedium as a kind of desert (Koopowitz, 2008). Others aspects of this species have also been studied, its cytology (Cox, 1997) or vegetative anatomy (Sandoval et al., 2003), but due to their rarity still has many important unknown (Cox et al., 1998; Shefferson, 2007). The cultivation of this plant has caused some orchidologists and impact among the fans and already developed several papers on this topic (Koopowitz, 1995, 2008; Pasetti, 1995, LeDoux, 1996; Reddy, 2008; Anonymous 2009th, b). Therefore, This paper will address two key points the value of this species: (i) their particular phylogenetic position and evolutionary interpretation, and (ii) the habitat and conservation status of this species.

Evolution and phylogeny

Since its publication, Phragmipedium xerophyticum

has moved and has been general also located in two genera: Mexipedium Paphiopedilum, in chronological order of respective publications. Until now, these are the only known synonyms for this species: Phragmipedium xerophyticum Soto Arenas, Salazar & Hágsater. Orquidea (Mexico) 12 (1): 2. 1990. Mexipedium xerophyticum (Soto Arenas, Salazar & Hágsater) VA Albert & MW Chase, lindleyana 7 (3): 174. 1992. Paphiopedilum xerophyticum (Soto Arenas, Salazar & Hágsater) VA Albert & Pett Borge., Lindleyana 9 (2): 138. 1994. Although his position in any of the three genera is feasible consistent with the phylogenetic analysis, has now accepted its location as a monotypic genus different (Pridgeon et al., 1999; Soto 2003). It is postulated that *M. xerophyticum* is a relictual species representing the only survivor of a basal clade among leaf cypripedioides conduplicate, with features intermediate between Phragmipedium are distributed exclusively in the Neotropics and are Paphiopedilum own old world (Salazar-Chávez & Hágsater, 1997, Shah et al., 2003; Soto Arenas & Solano-Gomez, 2007). The genome has Mexipedium size of 1C ¹/₄ 6.73 pg, 2n ¹/₄ 26 chromosomes, making it very similar to Phragmipedium, so is regarded as its sister genus (Leitch et al. 2009). Consistent with these results, being based The rediscovery of MEXIPEDIuM XEROPHYTICuM (SOTO ARENAS, SALAZAR & HÁGSATER) VA Albert & MW Chase E Duardo a. P Erez -G Arcia Department of Ecology and Natural Resources, Faculty of Sciences, National Autonomous University Mexico, Ciudad Universitaria, Mexico 04510, DF, Mexico. eduardo.perez-Garcia @ ciencias.unam.mx

Lankesteriana

molecular studies, Albert (1994) found that Paphiopedilum Mexipedium separation between data and between 16.4 and 23 million years, so at biogeography has been considered as an example of the former early Miocene flora boreotropical (Salazar-Chávez & Hágsater, 1997, Soto-Arenas & Solano-Gómez, 2007). The presence of elements boreotropical the flora, which relates to the flora of Norteaméricaconlaasiática (Tiffney, 1985a, b; Cevallos-Ferriz & Gonzalez-Torres, 2005), seems to be very important habitat in the region Mexipedium (Wendt, 1989). In fact, one can argue that in general, the Oaxacan orquideoflora has consistently several of the most basal lineages in Orchidaceae respective phylogenetic groups (Soto & Salazar, 2004). Note that the Cypripedioideae are one of the older groups of Orchidaceae, and therefore the analysis of this group of plants is important for understanding of the evolutionary history of a family that has a source since the 111-119000000 vears (Janssen & Bremer, 2004).

Habitat

Xerophyticum Mexipedium only been collected in a town in the region of Los Chimalapas in Isthmus of Tehuantepec, Oaxaca. In addition, only hearing a particular limestone outcrop 320 m asl The exact locations of *M. xerophyticum* has kept guard at the Herbarium AMO, this with collections in order to prevent poaching. The *Mexipedium* are plants that produce modules in the form of fans and since they occur stolons with new fans, allowing for some clonal expansion. However, this expansion appears clonal is apparently somewhat limited in the habitat the existence of a natural microbial mat (Salazar & Hágsater, 1997). In the original issue Search for this species in 1988 were only seven clusters of plants that probably represent different genotypes (genetic Soto-Arenas et al., 1990). This number was obtained considering genetos spatial separation between floors, but without using any molecular marker to see if they are truly genetos different or not. In this expedition was extracted a genetic Full and part of another (Salazar & Hágsater, 1997 Hágsater & SotoArenas, 1998). Apart from these plants have spread all known in cultivation

outside Mexico, both as vegetative propagation from seed (LeDoux, 1996; Salazar & Hágsater, 1997; Hágsater & Soto Arenas, 1998, Soto-Arenas & Solano-Gómez, 2007). Posteriormenteasudescubrimiento, dosgenetosmás were extracted in its entirety by an amateur. Until now there is no idea on the whereabouts of these two floors, unless they were originally carried Minatitlan, Veracruz (Soto Arenas & Solano-Gómez, 2007). In July 1996, a new survey botany at the resort, Gerardo Salazar found two genetos new, so that by that time only had counted six different genetic state wild. It should be noted that although there has been fruit production in the country (in 1988, 1996, 1997; Soto Arenas & Solano-Gómez, 2007), all plants observed are adults and there is apparently no recruitment of new individuals from sexual reproduction (Salazar & Hágsater, 1997). The Mexipedium habitat area has been explored detail and no new sites have been detected if While their existence is not excluded, apparently these outcrops are not common on the site and large part of the forest that surrounds them has already been transformed (Salazar & Hágsater, 1997). For these reasons, it has considered likely *M. xerophyticum* not viable population can maintain any state wild (Soto Arenas, 1996). The precarious situation of *M. xerophyticum* became much more serious in 1998 when a fire swept their habitat. From this date was not returned to explore the town to assess if it plants survived in the wild. In August 2009 there was a new expedition to this location and found that of all the observed genetic previously only one survived. This plant was severely damaged by fire and apparently has not flourished since then, but is currently recovering. Mr. Heriberto Hernandez, who was a original collectors of this kind, ran places where they had met the other genetos and concluded that they were gone. Along with his son, Gerobuam, we further explore the rocky ground on particularly in less accessible sites. Thus, in a canyon with vertical walls were located several plants (Fig. 1). A couple of them had flowers and another had buttons (Fig. 2).

Lankesteriana 9 (3), January 2010. © Universidad de Costa Rica, 2010. Ρ Erez -G Arcia - The redescubrimineto of Mexipedium xerophyticum 559 Considering that is *Mexipedium* potential of cloning, it is difficult to know exactly There are many different genetic locally today. But considering a distance relevance between clusters of plants could be recognize at least six new groups of plants, tentatively be considered as genotypes different. In this sense, the preparation of studies Molecular order to know how many genotypes actually exist in the wild becomes very relevant. Similarly, it requires a detailed demographic study designed to show dynamic of this population to establish their viability. Unfortunately our visit to this locality was very short, not much information could be extracted the field. However, there are some observations redeemable. One is that it was the first time flowering is recorded during the month of August, so it extends a bit the flowering period observed in the field, and had previously only F igure 1. Images of different clusters of plants ("genetos?) Of Mexipedium xerophyticum grow in their habitat. In Panel A shows the only survivor of the seven Geneto originally found.

Lankesteriana 9 (3), January 2010. © Universidad de Costa Rica, 2010. 560 Lankesteriana flowers seen in September (Soto Arenas & Solano-Gomez, 2007). However, this finding is not very surprising, as the plants start growing bloom from spring (Anónimo. 2009th) and Flowering can be extended to November (Soto-Arenas & Solano-Gómez, 2007). There is information that flowering of this species may occur during Page 4

different times of year when grown under constant light intensities (Anonymous, 2009b). The habitat of this species is a karst rocky less than two hectares, which makes of Mexipedium the only "Phragmipedium" growing in limestone (Anonymous, 2009th). In this rocky, arborescent plants grow in stature as Beaucarnea sanctomariana, Bursera simaruba, Plumeria rubra and Pseudobombax ellipticum. In addition, plants thrive in this environment of genres Agave, Acanthocereus, Begonia, Catopsis, Peperomia, Phylodendrum, Pitcairnia, Selaginella, Tillandsia, several ferns and some other unidentified orchids as Bletia sp. macrobulbon Cyrtopodium and Encyclia cf. parviflora. Although vegetation Mexipedium microhabitat can be classified like a desert scrub, in reality it is rupícola vegetation embedded in a matrix of forest evergreen. Some detailed descriptions of similar environments but seasonal forests nuts can be consulted in Perez-Garcia and Meave (2004) and Pérez-García et al (2009). It is noteworthy that the calcareous outcrops Isthmus of Tehuantepec home to numerous species endemic, as Beaucarnea sanctomariana L. Hernandez (Hernandez-Sandoval, 2001), Agave Guiengola Gentry (Torres-Colin, 1989) and several more in Nizanda region (Pérez-García & Meave, 2004). With this information we can conclude that these rocks are ancient environments that have allowed diversification of a very special flora. So far all the plants of *M. xerophyticum* have been found are located on vertical walls F igure

2. *Xerophyticum Mexipedium* plants in reproductive state. Panel A shows a genetic flower, which is different from those shown in Figure 1. In Panel B shows a close up of a cluster of plants shown in Figure 1F and panel C shows a close up of an inflorescence of the plant shown in Figure 1B.

Page 5

Lankesteriana 9 (3), January 2010. © Universidad de Costa Rica, 2010. P Erez -G Arcia - The redescubrimineto of Mexipedium xerophyticum

561

rock, with a north exposure. Due to the latitude in this species found during a of the year these plants do not receive direct sunlight but this situation changes substantially during the rainy season (concentrated in the summer; see Garcia *et al.*, 2009). Perhaps for this reason, this species has adapted well to capture light in the leaves (Shah *et al.*, 2003) and morphology very xeric.

Conservation

It is difficult to make predictions about the future of this species in its natural habitat. With the information have is clear that it is a plant that has very few individuals. This is true even when considering all fans rooted, irrespective of their genetic origin. Moreover, all these plants concentrated in a very small area under one hectare of land. Thus, both their rarity demographic, such as restricting the habitat make them vulnerable. Therefore, this species is listed as Endangered Mexican Official Norm for species at risk (SEMARNAT, 2002). In contrast, there is some interest by the owners the venue for attaining the conservation of this species do not allow access to strangers and not have an interest in transforming the site for some agriculture. While the site is unsuitable for agriculture or livestock, it is very susceptible to fires which are done around, as seen in fires 1998. Hágsater Salazar (1997) proposed some measures for the conservation in situ of the species. However, the area is part of a ejido and many decisions must be taken collectively and through some procedures complicated to understand. Added to this point, the ejido to which the town is very politicized and not easy to be accepted guidelines of exterior. In stark contrast, ex situ conservation of species has been very successful. The way followed the discoverers of the species, to send plants to growers and researchers of the group (see Hágsater, 1996; Hágsater & Soto Arenas, 1998) gave good results. Today there are several commercial nurseries

selling plants, and in some cases prices they have declined since 2002 (Reddy, 2008). In short, no one knows exactly the probability survival of this species in the wild, but you can predict your future is assured in crop. This article is dedicated to the memory of Miguel Angel Soto Arenas, who was one of the discoverers and student of this species, but also was my teacher and friend. to Cknowledgements A H. Hernández González for guiding us in the study site. We thank the Téc phthalate. G. Hernandez Jiménez and the staff of the Oaxacan Isthmus RPC / RFSIPS / of CONANP (SEMARNAT) for their assistance in fieldwork. The DGAPA-UNAM funded the disposal field through the program PAPITT (IN-216007-3). 1 itEratura cited Anonymous. 2009th. Mexipedium (Phragmipedium) xerophyticum. Antec Laboratory and Ladyslipper Farm. http://www. ladyslipper.com / mexipedium.htm (accessed October 2009). Anonymous. 2009b. Phrag. (Mexipedium) xerophyticum. Orchids Limited. http:// www.orchidweb.com/orchidofweek. aspx? id = 397 (accessed October 2009). Albert, V. 1994. Cladistic Relationships of the slipper orchids (Cypripedioideae: Orchidaceae) from congruent morphological and molecular data. Lindleyana 9: 115-132. Albert, V. & MW Chase. 1992. Mexipedium: A new genus of Slipper orchid (Cypripedioideae: Orchidaceae). Lindleyana 7: 172-176. Albert, V. & B. Peterson. 1994. Expansion of genus Paphiopedilum to include all the conduplicateleaved slipper orchids. Lindleyana 9: 133-139. Cevallos-Ferriz, SRS & EA Gonzalez-Torres. 2005. Geological setting and phytodiversity in Mexico. Pp 1-18 in: FJ Vega, TG Nyborg, M. C. Perrilliat, M. Montellano-Ballesteros, SR Cevallos-Ferriz and SA Quiroz-Barroso (Eds.). Studies on Mexican Paleontology. Springer. Amsterdam. Cox, AV 1997. Cytological characterization of *Mexipedium xerophyticum*. Lindleyana 12: 162-165.

Page 6

Cox, AV, GJ Abdelnour, MD Bennett & IJ Leitch. 1998. Genome size and karyotype evolution in the slipper orchids

(Cypripedioideae: Orchidaceae). Amer. J. Bot. 85: 681-687 Cox, AV, AM Pridgeon, V. Albert & M. W Chase. 1997. Phylogenetics of the slipper orchids (Cypripedioideae: Orchidaceae) nuclear rDNA sequences. Pl Syst. Evol. 208: 197-223. Garcia-Cruz, JA, EA Perez-García & Meave JA. 2009. β-Diversity and vegetation structure as Influenced by Slope aspect and altitude in a seasonally dry tropical landscape. Landscape Ecol. 24: 473-482. Hágsater, E. 1996. Mexico (Regional Account). In: Hágsater, E. (Eds.) IUCN / SSC Orchid Specialist Group. Orchids - Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan. IUCN. Hágsater E. & MA Soto Arenas. 1998. Orchid conservation in Mexico. Selbyana 19: 15-19. Hágsater, E., MA Soto Arenas, GA Salazar Chávez, R. Machorro Jiménez, MA López Rosas & RL Dressler. 2005. The Orchids of Mexico. Chinoin Institute, Mexico, 304 pp. Hernández-Sandoval, L. 2001. Beaucarnea sanctomariana (Nolinaceae), a new micro-endemic species of ponytail palm from the Isthmus of Tehuantepec, Oaxaca, Mexico. Novon 11: 50-53. T. Janssen & K. Bremer. 2004. The age of major monocot groups inferred from 800 + rbcL sequences. Bot. J. Linn Soc 146: 385-398. Koopowitz, H. 1995. Phragmipedium xerophyticum and Its culture. Orchid Digest 59: 108-110. Koopowitz, H. 2008. Tropical Slipper Orchids. Paphiopedilum and Phragmipedium. Timber Press. Portland. LeDoux, M. 1996. The diminutive *Phragmipedium xerophyticum*. Orchid Digest 60: 122-128. Leitch, IJ, I. Kahandawala, J. Suda, L. Hanson, MJ Ingrouille, MW Chase & MF Fay. 2009. Genome size diversity in orchids: Consequences and evolution. Ann. Bot. 104: 469-481. Pasetti, M. 1995. Le Cypripedioideae. Orchidee Amatori Associazione Lombarda. Varese. Perez-Garcia, EA & JA Meave. 2004. Heterogeneity of xerophytic vegetation of limestone outcrops in a tropical deciduous forest region. Pl Ecol. 175: 147-163. Perez-Garcia, E. A., AC Sevilha, JA Meave & A. Scariot. 2009. Neotropical Floristic Differentiation in continental limestone outcrops of southern Mexico and central Brazil: a Beta diversity approach. Bull Soc Bot. Mex. 84:45-58. Pridgeon, AM, PJ Cribb, MW Chase & F. Rasmussen (eds.). 1999. Generates Orchidacearum 1: Orchidoideae. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. London. Reddy, V. 2008. Mexipedium xerophyticum. Orchid Forum. The Newsletter of the Orchid Forum of Sacramento. April 3-4. Sandoval, E., T. Terrazas, G. Salazar, A. Vallejo & B. Estrada. 2003. Vegetative Anatomy xerophyticum Mexipedium (Soto, Salazar & Hágsater) VA Albert & MW Chase and related genera (Orchidaceae, Cypripedioideae). Lankesteriana 7: 54-56. Salazar-Chávez, G. & E. Hágsater. 1997. Diversity and Conservation of orchids Chimalapa region, Oaxaca,

Mexico. Mexico. Project final report submitted to the CONABIO G024. SEMARNAT 2002. Mexican Official Standard NOM-059-ECOL-2001, Environmental Protectionnative species of Mexico wildlife-risk categories and specifications for inclusion, exclusion or change in species-Schedule at risk. Official Journal of the Federation, March 6, 2002. Shefferson, RP, DL Taylor, M. Weiß, S. Garnica, MK McCormick, S. Adams, Gray HM, McFarland JW, T. Kull, K. Tali, T. Yukawa, T. Kawahara, K. Miyoshi and Y.-I Lee. 2007. The evolutionary history of mycorrhizal specificity Among lady's slipper orchids. Evolution 61: 1380-1390. Soto Arenas, MA 1996. Mexico (Regional Account). Pp 53-58 in: E. Hágsater & V. Dumont (eds.). Orchids - Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan. IUCN / SSC Orchid Specialist Group. UCN, Gland, Switzerland & Cambridge, UK Soto, MA 2003. Mexipedium xerophyticum (Soto Arenas, Salazar & Hágsater) VA Albert & MW Chase. Plate 623 in: E. Hágsater & M. Soto (eds.). Icones Orchidacearum fascicles 5 & 6, Orchids Of Mexico Parts 2 & 3. Herbario AMO, Mexico City Soto, MA & GA Salazar. 2004. Orchids. Pp 271-295 in: AJ Garcia-Mendoza, MJ & M. Ordonez **Briones-Salas** (Eds.). Biodiversity of Oaxaca. Institute of Biology, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Oaxaca Fund for the Conservation of Nature and World Wildlife Fund. Mexico City Soto-Arenas, M., G. Salazar-Chávez & E. Hágsater. 1990. Phragmipedium xerophyticum, a new species from southern Mexico. Orquidea (Mex) 12: 1-10. Soto-Arenas, MA & AR Solano-Gómez. 2007. Xerophyticum Mexipedium data sheet. In: Soto-Arenas, MA (Ed.). Updated information on orchid species PROY-NOM-059-ECOL-2000. Institute Chinoin AC, Herbarium of the Mexican Association of Orchid AC Databases SNIB-CONABIO. Project No. W029. Mexico. DF Lankesteriana 9 (3), January 2010. © Universidad de Costa Rica, 2010. 562 Lankesteriana

Page 7

Soto Arenas, MA, R. Gómez & E. Solano Hágsater. 2007. Risk of extinction and patterns of diversity loss in Mexican

orchids. Lankesteriana 7:114-121.

Tiffney, BH 1985a. Perspectives on the origin of the Floristic Similarity Between eastern Asia and eastern North America. J.

Arnold Arbor. 66: 73-94.

Tiffney, BH 1985b. The Eocene north Atlantic land bridge: Its Importance in Modern and Tertiary phytogeography of the

Northern Hemisphere. J. Arnold Arbor. 66: 243-273.

Torres-Colin, LM 1989. Floristic Survey and Description of Vegetation of Cerro Guiengola, on the Isthmus of Tehuantepec,

Oaxaca. Thesis (Biology). National Autonomous University of Mexico. Los Reyes, Iztacala, State Mexico.

Wendt, T. 1989. The forests of Uxpanapa, Veracruz-Oaxaca: Evidence from Cenozoic floristic refuges. Ann Inst Biol

be. Bot. 58: 29-54.

R. *Mexipedium xerophyticum* Zuiderwijk. http://www.phragweb.info/mexipedium (accessed October 2009).

Lankesteriana 9 (3), January 2010. © Universidad de Costa Rica, 2010.

Р

Erez

-G

Arcia

- The redescubrimineto of Mexipedium xerophyticum

563